

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/955,169	09/19/2001	Keisuke Yoshikuni	Q66293	9425
75	90 07/20/2004	EXAMINER		
,	IION, ZINN, MACPE	WALLS, DIONNE A		
2100 Pennsylva Washington, De	nia Avenue, N.W. C. 20037-3213	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
··· doming.on,	0 2003, 3213		1731	

DATE MAILED: 07/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		'.		YOSHIKUNI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		09/955,10						
		Examine		Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communic	Dionne A.		1731				
Period fo		ation appears on the	s cover sneet with the t	orrespondence duaress	,			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC Insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenication. days, a reply within the state utory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communi	ication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on <u>01 July 200</u> 4.						
2a)	This action is FINAL . 21							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8 and 9 is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 and 10-12 is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction Papers The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object	re withdrawn from o d. ion and/or election r Examiner. a) □ accepted or b)	equirement.					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to The oath or declaration is objected to	·	-, ,					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of 2. Certified copies of the priority of 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	locuments have bee locuments have bee f the priority documental Bureau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	e			
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-092) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P er No(s)/Mail Date	•	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	•				

Application/Control Number: 09/955,169

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Reopening of Prosecution

Upon further consideration of previously presented claims 10-12, a rejection of these claims has been made over the prior art of record, namely Applicant's Admitted Art in view of JP 8-277132. Therefore, the FINALITY of the rejection of the last Office Action, dated April 1, 2004 has been WITHDRAWN. In view of the foregoing, the Amendment to the claims filed on July 1, 2004 has NOT been entered, and PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED as set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Art in view of JP 8-277132.

Applicant admits, in the instant specification, that a method of manufacturing a glass gob – which includes a reheating/pressing press-molding method - is known where an amount of a molten glass is poured into molding dies, and then the movable portion of each molding die is moved down at a speed higher than the flowing speed of the molten glass. In this way, each molten glass flow is cut into separated portions, so that an amount of molten glass is left on each molding die, and a gradual cooling may be performed to produce glass gobs having various shapes (see page 2). While

Application/Control Number: 09/955,169

Art Unit: 1731

Applicant may not admit that it is known to then spray the molten glass with a gas in order to form a glass gob under such a condition that the molten glass is floated, JP 8-277132 discloses a glass forming mold process wherein small holes are provided in the glass molding die in order to supply inert gas or air to the glass gob which enables it to be "slightly" floated (see English abstract and mechanical translation). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide for holes to blow inert gas or air through the die molds, in such manner, so as to prevent the surface of the glass gob from contacting the molding die surface in order to avoid cracks, dirt, etc. on the face of the glass gob – as taught in JP 8-277132.

Regarding claim 2, it follows that the spraying step would commence in the claimed amount of time after the glass gob has been received in the molding die in order to ensure defects on the face of the molded glass article.

Regarding claims 4-7, these limitations are not deemed to impart patentable distinction since the parameters of the glass and the steps following the receiving of the glass gob are conventional in the glass molding process.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed on January 20th, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- Applicant argues that the JP 8-277132 reference does not disclose the claimed "receiving step" or "remaining step", or the reduction (variation) of the gas flow rate. However, the Examiner wishes to point out the she has not presented the JP reference

Application/Control Number: 09/955,169

Art Unit: 1731

to teach the "receiving" or "remaining" steps. These steps, she believes, have already been admitted by Applicant in the instant specification on page 2. The only thing that Applicant did not admit, was the spraying of the deposited molten glass with gas in order to provide said glass in a "floated" position. For this reason, the JP reference was introduced to show that one having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to spray the molten glass received in the molding die with a stream of gas, so that it "floated" upon being deposited, in order to prevent dirt, cracks, flaws from forming in the cooled glass product. Lastly, Applicant has not recited a requirement, in claims 1-7 and 10-12, that the "flow rate of the gas is reduced in the receiving step", as this step is optional. Therefore, the prior art rejection of claims 1-7 over Applicant's Admitted Art in view of JP 8-277132 is still considered proper, and is maintained.

Allowable Subject Matter

The Examiner believes that the instant specification contains allowable subject matter. Applicant has disclosed that the flow rate of the gas is reduced in the receiving step wherein said receiving step is carried out by spraying the molten glass with gas having a flow rate that is lower than the gas used in the spraying step which is carried out after the molten glass has remained on the molding die. If Applicant amends the independent claims, 1 and 10, to require this limitation (as opposed to making this limitation optional), the claims would be allowable over the prior art of record.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dionne A. Walls whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Art Unit: 1731

1195. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7AM - 4:30PM (Every other Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dionne A. Walls Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731

July 18, 2004